ORIGINAL

1904

FILE No. 177 OF 1904-1905.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT

COURTS.

JANUARY

'A' Collection Serial Nos. 1—2

Appointment of one C. Subba Rao, as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court, involving the question of appointment of persons of over 25 years of age.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Serial No. of File.	Number and date of letter and contents.	References.	Page in A Progs.
1	From the Registrar of the Chief Court, No. 3755—266, dated the 9th January 1905. Reports the appointment by the District Judge of Bangalore in November 1903 of C. Subba Rao, as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court and requests the sanction of Government to the entertainment into service of the individual in question as the Comptroller has intimated that Government sanction is necessary on the score of the individual being 25 years and 8 months old at the time of appointment.		1
2	Government Proceedings No. J. 2096-34, dated the 31st January 1905, to the Registrar of the Chief Court, the Comptroller to Government, Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners. Sanctions the above appointment with the observation that appointments as these, while unnecessary, are unfortunately becoming numerous; that they must be reported for the sanction of Government before effect is given; that the sanction of Government should not be anticipated or presumed in these cases and requests the Comptroller to report to Government every infringement of the above rules.		1

1905.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COURTS.

NOTES.

File No. 177.

Appointment of one C. Subba Rao, as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court, involving the question of appointment of persons of over 25 years of age.

From the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, No. 3755—226, dated the 9th January 1905.

Reports the appointment by the District Judge of Bangalore, in November 1903, of C. Subba Rao as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court and requests the sanction of Government to the said appointment as the Comptroller has intimated that the sanction of Government is necessary on the score of the individual being 25 years and 8 months old at the time of appointment.

Section 43 of the Service Regulations does not seem to lay down expressly that previous sanction of Government is necessary in cases as these. It only says "A person whose age exceeds twenty-five may not *ordinarily* be admitted into the service of the State". A draft sanctioning is however submitted.

T. K. S. N. N.
17-1-05.

2. I think the appointment of C. Subba Rao may be approved. His age exceeds 25 by only 8 months and he is a Matriculate and has held various acting appointments.

M. SHAMA RAO,
17-1-05.

3. Draft submitted to the Dewan Sahib through the 1st Councillor.

B. K. VENKATA VARADA IYENGAR.
19-1-05.

4. I think such cases require Government sanction. The sanction asked for in the present case may be given. Draft approved.

C. S.
20-1-05

5. I regret to remark that cases are sent up without any examination. If that appointment required Government sanction why was he permitted to insure his life. What are the special grounds on which age restriction should be withdrawn in this case. This is not a technical appointment and there is apparently no paucity of candidates for Government appointments. Were the Rules for admission into the Public Service of 1888 duly conformed to? More particulars should be called for.

Through 1st Councillor.

P. N. K.
22-1-05.

6. On further consideration and after consultation with the 1st Councillor I have agreed to sanction this appointment. Add the observation that such appointments while unnecessary are unfortunately becoming numerous. The sanction of Government should not be anticipated or presumed and every such case must be reported for the sanction of Government before effect is given to the appointment. Comptroller should be requested to report every infringement of this rule for the orders of Government. Send the orders to Deputy Commissioners and all Heads of Departments.

P. N. K.
24-1-05.

7. I quite agree. Orders may be issued accordingly.

C. S.
27-1-05.

8. Issue the draft which has been added to as per Dewan Saheb's order.

B. K. VENKATA VARADA IYENGAR,
27-1-05.

Government Proceedings No. J. 2093-31, dated the 31st January 1905, to the Registrar of the Chief Court and the Comptroller to Government, and the Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Sanctions the above appointment with the above observation.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Legislative and Judicial (Courts) January 1905.

Appointment of one C. Subba Rao as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court involving the question of appointment of persons of over 25 years of Age.

No. 3755—226, dated, Bangalore, 9th January 1905, from V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar, Esquire, Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, to B. K. Venkata Varada Iyengar, Esquire, Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Department.

I am directed to request the sanction of Government under Article 43 of the Mysore Service Regulations to the entertainment in Government Service of C. Subba Rao who was appointed by the District Judge of Bangalore in November 1903 as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court, being 25 years and 8 months old at the time. Subba Rao is a native of Mysore, has passed the Matriculation Examination and is stated to be a willing, intelligent and hard-working official. Prior to his present appointment, he held acting appointments in the Taluk Office at Sira and in the Executive Engineer's office, Tumkur.

The official has also insured his life with the Mysore State Life Insurance and holds Policy No. 7025. The Comptroller has now intimated to the District Judge of Bangalore that sanction of Government is necessary.

Government Order No. J. 2096-34—C. 177-2, dated the 31st January 1905 to the Registrar of the Chief Court, the Comptroller to Government, the Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners.

Government are pleased to accord their sanction to the appointment of C. Subba Rao as a gumasta in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court with effect from the date of his joining the appointment. Such appointments, while unnecessary, are unfortunately becoming numerous. The sanction of Government should not be anticipated or presumed and every such case must be reported for the sanction of Government before effect is given to the appointment.

2. The Comptroller is requested to report every infringment of this rule for the orders of Government.